

GUATEMALA

Consolidating Peace in Guatemala through Violence Prevention and Conflict Management (MDGF-1957-)



Conflict Prevention and Peace Building

Total Budget:	USD5,500,000		
Budget by Agency:	UNFPA: 550,194 PAHO: 448,330 UN-HABITAT: 498,620	UNDP: 2,366,826 UNICEF: 720,110 UNESCO: 915,920	
Participating Gov. Entities:	SEGEPLAN, MINGOB, MSPSAS, SBS, SCSPR.		
Start Date:	September 03, 2009	Approval Date:	February 06, 2009
Est. End Date:	September 03, 2012	Signature Date:	April 17, 2009
Disbursements:	First Disbursement: Second Disbursement: Third Disbursement:	August 31, 2009	USD1,588,210
In Brief:	In Guatemala, the new patterns of violence that have appeared after 36 years of internal war seriously threaten political and social stability, and affect human development and MDG achievement. Homicide has grown 12% every year since 1999, hindering the fulfillment of Peace Agreements. The Joint Programme will contribute towards consolidating peace by strengthening legal frameworks, public policies and initiatives in conflict and violence prevention, as well as the capacity of State and civil society institutions. It shall establish a national early warning system and local and national initiatives for conflict and violence prevention, it shall train civil servants in charge of relevant policies and improve the quality of the information broadcast by the media in order to improve citizen perception of the problem. The institutionalization of pending commitments of Peace Agreements will be stressed.		

Outcomes:

- An improved end enforced political legal framework to deal with the conflict and social violence in order to consolidate peace.
- Improved national and local capacity for conflict prevention and to deal with the causes and manifestations of social violence.



Regions of Intervention:	Santa Lucía Cotzumalguapa, Cobán and Chiquimula.		
MDGs	MDG3:T3A; MDG5:T5B.		
Project coordinator: Silvia Molina	RCO Focal Point:	Carmen Aida Gonzalez	
Beneficiaries	Direct	Indirect	

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No. Institutions • No. Women • No. Men • No. ethnic groups 	<p>236</p> <p>92,772</p> <p>6,982</p> <p>8</p>	<p>0</p> <p>629,203</p> <p>628,184</p>																					
<p>Status</p>	<p>Delayed</p> <p>External factors: Tropical Storm Agatha and the eruption of the Pacaya volcano</p> <p>The succession of three ministers in the Ministry of the Interior since receipt of funds from the first disbursement.</p>																						
<p>Estimated financial execution status as of the 30 June, 2010 biannual report:</p>	<p>Disbursed Budget:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2% over the Total approved budget • 7% over transferred budget 	<p>Committed Budget:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 8% over the Total approved budget • 28% over transferred budget 																					
<p>Delivery rate by Agency Graphic:</p>	<table border="1"> <caption>Delivery rate by Agency Data</caption> <thead> <tr> <th>Agency</th> <th>Transferred</th> <th>Disbursed</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>PAHO</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>UNDP</td> <td>9,69%</td> <td>~50,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>UNESCO</td> <td>2,68%</td> <td>~10,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>UNFPA</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>UNHABITAT</td> <td>22,1%</td> <td>~20,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>UNICEF</td> <td>5,5%</td> <td>~10,000</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Agency	Transferred	Disbursed	PAHO	0%	0%	UNDP	9,69%	~50,000	UNESCO	2,68%	~10,000	UNFPA	0%	0%	UNHABITAT	22,1%	~20,000	UNICEF	5,5%	~10,000
Agency	Transferred	Disbursed																					
PAHO	0%	0%																					
UNDP	9,69%	~50,000																					
UNESCO	2,68%	~10,000																					
UNFPA	0%	0%																					
UNHABITAT	22,1%	~20,000																					
UNICEF	5,5%	~10,000																					
<p>Main Achievements:</p>	<p>1. No major progress to date.</p>																						
<p>Other important achievements</p>	<p>Preparatory actions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inter-institutional agreements signed; • Agreements between agencies and institutions signed by members; • Building the Coordinating Team; and • Coordination of the JP-Window was initiated with the local authorities of three prioritized municipalities in order to create favorable conditions for performing the actions of institutional strengthening planned in the programme. 																						
<p>Does the JP incorporate gender considerations in the activities/outputs/outcomes? (example)</p>																							
<p>Does the JP include gender specific indicators in the monitoring plan?(example)</p>																							
<p>Does the JP mention gender relationships as part of the challenges analyzed? (specify)</p>																							
<p> </p>																							