

HONDURAS

Economic Governance of Water and Decontamination (MDGF-1782-)



Economic Democratic Governance

Total Budget:	USD6,500,000		
Budget by Agency:	UNDP: 2,994,734 UNICEF: 675,799 PAHO: 588,500	UNIDO: 385,831 ILO: 943,343 FAO: 911,793	
Participating Gov. Entities:	The National Council for Water and Decontamination (CONASA), the Secretariat for Public Health (SSP) the Independent Service for Aqueducts and Drains (SANAA), the Regulatory Body for Water and Decontamination Services (ERSAPS), the Secretariat for Governance and Justice, particularly its Technical Decentralization Unit (UTD), the Association of the Towns of Honduras (AMHON), Centre of Studies and Contaminant Checks (CESCCO), the Secretariat for Natural Resources and the Environment (SERNA), the Institute of Forestry Conservation (ICF), the Permanent Contingencies Committee (COPECO), the Secretariat of Agriculture and Livestock (SAG).		
Start Date:	August 28, 2008	Approval Date:	March 25, 2008
Est. End Date:	August 28, 2011	Signature Date:	June 13, 2008
Disbursements:	First Disbursement:	August 29, 2008	USD1,229,223
	Second Disbursement:	December 07, 2009	USD2,582,123
	Third Disbursement:		
In Brief:	The overall objective is to consolidate the modernization process in various sectors with a view to achieving the MDGs, the points of the Poverty Reduction Strategy, under the leadership of the government and with the coordinated cooperation of the United Nations Agencies. The Programme will support the design, development and implementation of policies in various sectors, using a socially inclusive approach, under the leadership of the national government, and strengthening infrastructure investment mechanisms in smaller cities, outlying areas and rural zones. These interventions will have the backing of leading institutions in various sectors, in areas specifically identified as poor, and public participation, making use of current initiatives and successful experiences and seeking to create synergy, the mobilization of additional resources and the incorporation of new actors in the sectors' development.		

Outcomes:

- Policies designed/developed and implemented in various sectors, using a socially inclusive approach, under the leadership of the national government.
- Investment support mechanisms established in smaller cities and developing and outlying areas of the country, through direct financing from the Programme and other resources mobilized through strategic alliances with government institutions and other cooperating bodies.
- Investment support mechanisms established in rural areas of the country, through direct financing from the Programme and other resources mobilized through strategic alliances with government institutions and other cooperating bodies.



Regions of Intervention:	Regions of Atlántida, Copán, Lempira and Valle.		
MDGs	MDG7: T7C.		
	Project coordinator: Ana Lily Mejia	RCO Focal Point: Jorge Ramos	

Beneficiaries <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No. Institutions • No. Women • No. Men • No. ethnic groups 	Direct 330 15,480 15,038 2,252	Indirect 54 144,629 134,791 5,678																					
Status	Delayed The political crisis has affected programme implementation due to it not being recognized by national government counterparts for a long period of time.																						
Estimated financial execution status as of the June 30, 2010 biannual report:	Disbursed Budget: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 30% over the Total approved budget • 50% over transferred budget Committed Budget: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 38% over the Total approved budget • 65% over transferred budget 																						
Delivery rate by Agency Graphic:	<table border="1"> <caption>Delivery rate by Agency Data</caption> <thead> <tr> <th>Agency</th> <th>Transferred</th> <th>Disbursed</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>FAO, 57.87%</td> <td>~650,000</td> <td>~400,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ILO, 51.91%</td> <td>~650,000</td> <td>~350,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>UNIDO, 33.37%</td> <td>~300,000</td> <td>~100,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>PAHO, 65.83%</td> <td>~450,000</td> <td>~300,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>UNDP, 35.67%</td> <td>~1,350,000</td> <td>~500,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>UNICEF, 71.63%</td> <td>~450,000</td> <td>~350,000</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Agency	Transferred	Disbursed	FAO, 57.87%	~650,000	~400,000	ILO, 51.91%	~650,000	~350,000	UNIDO, 33.37%	~300,000	~100,000	PAHO, 65.83%	~450,000	~300,000	UNDP, 35.67%	~1,350,000	~500,000	UNICEF, 71.63%	~450,000	~350,000
Agency	Transferred	Disbursed																					
FAO, 57.87%	~650,000	~400,000																					
ILO, 51.91%	~650,000	~350,000																					
UNIDO, 33.37%	~300,000	~100,000																					
PAHO, 65.83%	~450,000	~300,000																					
UNDP, 35.67%	~1,350,000	~500,000																					
UNICEF, 71.63%	~450,000	~350,000																					
Main Achievements:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Outcome 1: CONASA is being strengthened both through hiring staff and through technical assistance for the structuring of its services at local level and the development and dissemination of the National Policy for the Water and Decontamination Sector (UNPD). 2. Outcome 2: 10 of the 24 designs for water and decontamination systems have been finished. 3. Outcome 3: 150 Water Boards and eight Water Board Associations have been established and/or restructured and trained in the MAFRON, NASMAR, MAMUCA and AMFI communities. 																						
Other important achievements	<u>Outcome 1:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diagnostics for water and decontamination systems have been finished by SANAA technicians for communities in priority towns. • Equipment and reagents have been allocated and/or are in the process of being bought in order to strengthen laboratories in the three regions of the area of impact of the Joint Programme's Water and Decontamination Programme, in accordance with diagnostics. • A consultancy service has been established at the international level in order to "Carry out the design of cells for hazardous, solid waste from hospitals in vulnerable areas". <u>Outcome 2:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The process of implementing compensation for environmental goods and services in the Matazano micro-basin in the SOL Community and the Piedras Gordas micro-basin in Tela, Atlantida, has been initiated. <u>Outcome 3:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 18 work plans have been developed and are in the process of being implemented in the AMFI, MANCOSOL and MAFRON communities on the management of micro-basins. 																						

Does the JP incorporate gender considerations in the **activities/outputs/outcomes**? (example)

Does the JP include gender specific **indicators** in the monitoring plan?(example)

Does the JP mention gender relationships as part of the **challenges** analyzed? (specify)