

NICARAGUA

National Development Capacities for Improving Employment and Self-Employment Opportunities for Young People (MDGF-1941-)



Youth, Employment and Migration

Total Budget:	USD5,610,000		
Budget by Agency:	UNIDO: 405,886 ILO: 1,015,965 UNDP: 2,303,058	FAO: 844,587 UNFPA: 786,450 WTO: 253,946	
Participating Gov. Entities:	INJUVE: Nicaraguan Institute for Youth, MITRAB: Ministry of Labour, INATEC: National Technological Institute, MIGOB-DGME: Ministry of Governance – General Directorate for Migration and Foreigners, INIDE: Nicaraguan Institute for Information for Development, INTA: Nicaraguan Institute for Agriculture Technology, INPYME: Nicaraguan Institute for Small and Medium Enterprises, INTUR: Nicaraguan Institute for Tourism, INFOCOOP: Nicaraguan Institute for the Promotion of Cooperatives.		
Start Date:	July 01, 2009	Approval Date:	December 29, 2008
Est. End Date:	July 01, 2012	Signature Date:	April 23, 2009
Disbursements:	First Disbursement: Second Disbursement: Third Disbursement:	July 01, 2009	USD1,597,885
In Brief:	<p>The programme seeks to act in support of efforts already being made at national level towards improving the access of young people to decent employment, especially those living in socially vulnerable conditions in urban and rural areas of Nicaragua, and thereby helping to counteract the negative effects of the migration trend.</p> <p>Activities will be put in place to assist their labour and social integration, which will be systematically analysed in order to build comprehensive intervention models that will be sustainable at local level. Institutional capacities will be strengthened in order to place youth employment and migration firmly on the national agenda. The programme will also be closely linked to ongoing national and local initiatives that are already being supported by cooperation agencies, national government and municipal authority agencies. Young people themselves will play a proactive role in the programme as a whole, in their capacity as social entities with rights of their own.</p>		

Outcomes:

- An improvement in the correlation between supply and demand for youth labour in the 11 chosen municipalities.
- Youth cooperatives and micro-enterprises set up and/or given extra support in the 11 chosen municipalities.
- Improved national capacities in the areas of youth employment and migration.



Regions of Intervention:	Chinandega, Somotillo, Managua, San Francisco Libre, Masaya, Altagracia, Matagalpa, Sébaco, Tuma-La Dalia, Jinotega and La Concordia.	
MDGs	MDG1 :T1B; MDG3 :T3A.	
Project coordinator: Virgilio Vasquez RCO Focal Point: Rita Delia Casco		
Beneficiaries	Direct	Indirect

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No. Institutions • No. Women • No. Men • No. ethnic groups 	<p>20</p> <p>4,400</p> <p>3,600</p> <p>68</p>	<p>76</p> <p>nd</p> <p>nd</p> <p>nd</p>																					
<p>Status</p>	<p>Delayed</p>																						
<p>Estimated financial execution status as of the 30 June, 2010 biannual report:</p>	<p>Disbursed Budget:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 9% over the Total approved budget • 31% over transferred budget 	<p>Committed Budget:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 20% over the Total approved budget • 69% over transferred budget 																					
<p>Estimated Delivery rate by Agency Graphic:</p>	<table border="1"> <caption>Estimated Delivery rate by Agency</caption> <thead> <tr> <th>Agency</th> <th>Transferred</th> <th>Disbursed</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>FAO, 0%</td> <td>~150,000</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>OIM, 23,31%</td> <td>~350,000</td> <td>~100,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>UNWTO, 1,82%</td> <td>~50,000</td> <td>~10,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>UNIDO, 24,84%</td> <td>~50,000</td> <td>~10,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>UNDP, 35,34%</td> <td>~550,000</td> <td>~200,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>UNFPA, 48,03%</td> <td>~450,000</td> <td>~220,000</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Agency	Transferred	Disbursed	FAO, 0%	~150,000	0	OIM, 23,31%	~350,000	~100,000	UNWTO, 1,82%	~50,000	~10,000	UNIDO, 24,84%	~50,000	~10,000	UNDP, 35,34%	~550,000	~200,000	UNFPA, 48,03%	~450,000	~220,000
Agency	Transferred	Disbursed																					
FAO, 0%	~150,000	0																					
OIM, 23,31%	~350,000	~100,000																					
UNWTO, 1,82%	~50,000	~10,000																					
UNIDO, 24,84%	~50,000	~10,000																					
UNDP, 35,34%	~550,000	~200,000																					
UNFPA, 48,03%	~450,000	~220,000																					
<p>Main Achievements:</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Outcome 1: Organization of 53 courses being initiated in which 453 young men and 600 young women programme beneficiaries participate. 2. Outcome 1: Access of youth to the use of information and communication technologies through the strengthening of 7 CICAS (Information, Training and Advising Centres for Youth). 3. Outcome 3: Municipal-level diagnostics on the need for occupational training. 																						
<p>Other important achievements</p>	<p><u>Outcome 1:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agreements signed with local actors for the creation of 10 Municipal Boards. • Municipal forums on labour rights. • 2 departmental networks of occupational and technical training centres in Chinandega and Jinotega. • 7 forums with employers for promoting the workforce insertion of young programme beneficiaries in the municipalities of Chinandega, Somotillo, Altagracia, Jinotega, La Concordia, Matagalpa and Sébaco. <p><u>Outcome 2:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Initial organization of the revolving fund. <p><u>Outcome 3:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Beginnings of the design of the National Youth Employment Plan through a broad consultation process with all municipalities countrywide. • Establishment of the National Commission on Youth Employment as an inter-sectoral entity for designing, implementing, monitoring and evaluating the National Youth Employment Plan. 																						
<p>Does the JP incorporate gender considerations in the activities/outputs/outcomes? (example)</p>																							
<p>Does the JP include gender specific indicators in the monitoring plan?(example)</p>																							
<p>Does the JP mention gender relationships as part of the challenges analyzed? (specify)</p>																							