

**PERU**

***Integrated and adaptive management of environmental resources and climatic risks in high Andean river basins (MDGF-1691-)***



**Environment and Climate Change**

|                                     |  |                              |                 |
|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------------|
| <b>Total Budget:</b>                | <b>USD3,900,000</b>  |                              |                 |
| <b>Budget by Agency:</b>            | FAO: 1,133,039<br>UNDP: 1,647,338  | UNEP:539,280<br>PAHO:580,343 |                 |
| <b>Participating Gov. Entities:</b> | MINISTRY FOR THE ENVIRONMENT, REGIONAL GOVERNMENT OF CUSCO, REGIONAL GOVERNMENT OF APURIMAC, PROVINCIAL AND DISTRICT MUNICIPALITIES, AGRORURAL ITDG, IMA, GEA-DESARROLLO, GRADE.   |                              |                 |
| <b>Start Date:</b>                  | October 01, 2008   | <b>Approval Date:</b>        | October 01,2008 |
| <b>Est. End Date:</b>               | October 01, 2011   | <b>Signature Date:</b>       | July 10, 2008   |
| <b>Disbursements:</b>               | First Disbursement:  | October 01, 2008             | USD1,079,890    |
|                                     | Second Disbursement:   | February 23, 2010            | USD1,805,285    |
|                                     | Third Disbursement:  |                              |                 |
| <b>In Brief:</b>                    | The programme seeks to develop and reinforce the ability of local and regional governments, communal authorities and the general population to improve local adaptive management of environmental resources in rural areas in the high Andes and the provision of services. This is to be achieved through a participatory, informed and decentralized perspective that effectively incorporates environmental and climate change issues into public and government policy decisions at the local and regional levels. The Joint Programme will focus on 3 MDGs, with MDG 7 being given the greatest weight. The proposal will contribute to the current process of decentralized public management and strengthen the opportunities for civil society cooperation, participation and oversight in rural areas that are characterized by extreme poverty, weakened social capital, environmental degradation and vulnerability to extreme climatic events. Information provision, awareness enhancement, research, training, coordination and advocacy activities will be conducted. |                              |                 |

**Outcomes:**

- Provincial and district governments have increased their capacity to plan, develop and implement, report on and coordinate initiatives compatible with sustainable management of environmental resources and associated services (with an emphasis on water, land and forest resources) and to incorporate measures to adapt to climate change into their regulatory and planning frameworks and tools.
- A strengthened capacity on the part of producer associations and grass-roots organizations generally to develop, access and apply, in a participatory and concerted manner, practices for the sustainable management of natural resources (with an emphasis on water, land and forest resources) and associated services that are related to their needs and respond to changing conditions.
- Producer associations and the local population have access to and participate in competitive and innovative models based on production systems that are compatible with the sustainable management of natural resources and the use of local potential and are targeted towards fair and sustainable markets that favor increases in incomes and the quality of life.



|                                 |  |
|---------------------------------|--|
| <b>Regions of Intervention:</b> | Cotabambas in Apurímac and Chumbivilcas in Cusco. (The Challhuahuacho and Santo Tomás river basins). |
| <b>MDGs</b>                     | MDG7 :T7A, T7B & T7C.  |

| <b>Beneficiaries</b>  | <b>Direct</b>   | <b>Indirect</b>   |        |             |           |             |          |          |              |          |          |              |            |          |              |          |          |
|---|---|---|--------|-------------|-----------|-------------|----------|----------|--------------|----------|----------|--------------|------------|----------|--------------|----------|----------|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>No. Institutions</b></li> <li>• <b>No. Women</b></li> <li>• <b>No. Men</b></li> <li>• <b>No. ethnic groups</b></li> </ul>   | <p>43</p> <p>0</p> <p>0</p> <p>0</p>  | <p>89</p> <p>0</p> <p>0</p> <p>0</p>  |        |             |           |             |          |          |              |          |          |              |            |          |              |          |          |
| <p>Project coordinator: Modesto Chalco<br/>RCO Focal Point: Maria Eugenia Mujica</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Web page:</p>  |   |   |        |             |           |             |          |          |              |          |          |              |            |          |              |          |          |
| <b>Status</b>   | <p>Delayed</p> <p>A management response is being drafted on the mid-term evaluation that put forward recommendations that would greatly affect the JP. The third disbursement will depend on this response and its implementation.</p>  |   |        |             |           |             |          |          |              |          |          |              |            |          |              |          |          |
| <b>Estimated financial execution status as of the June 30, 2010 biannual report:</b>  | <p><b>Disbursed Budget:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 29.41 % over the Total approved budget</li> <li>• 39.48 % over transferred budget</li> </ul>   | <p><b>Committed Budget:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 32.97 % over the Total approved budget</li> <li>• 44.25 % over transferred budget</li> </ul> |        |             |           |             |          |          |              |          |          |              |            |          |              |          |          |
| <b>Delivery rate by Agency Graphic:</b>   | <table border="1"> <caption>Delivery rate by Agency Data</caption> <thead> <tr> <th>Agency</th> <th>Transferred</th> <th>Disbursed</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>FAO, 47.46%</td> <td>~700,000</td> <td>~350,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>PAHO, 21.82%</td> <td>~400,000</td> <td>~100,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>UNDP, 41.97%</td> <td>~1,300,000</td> <td>~550,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>UNEP, 34.14%</td> <td>~400,000</td> <td>~150,000</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> |   | Agency | Transferred | Disbursed | FAO, 47.46% | ~700,000 | ~350,000 | PAHO, 21.82% | ~400,000 | ~100,000 | UNDP, 41.97% | ~1,300,000 | ~550,000 | UNEP, 34.14% | ~400,000 | ~150,000 |
| Agency  | Transferred   | Disbursed   |        |             |           |             |          |          |              |          |          |              |            |          |              |          |          |
| FAO, 47.46%   | ~700,000  | ~350,000  |        |             |           |             |          |          |              |          |          |              |            |          |              |          |          |
| PAHO, 21.82%  | ~400,000  | ~100,000  |        |             |           |             |          |          |              |          |          |              |            |          |              |          |          |
| UNDP, 41.97%  | ~1,300,000  | ~550,000  |        |             |           |             |          |          |              |          |          |              |            |          |              |          |          |
| UNEP, 34.14%  | ~400,000  | ~150,000  |        |             |           |             |          |          |              |          |          |              |            |          |              |          |          |
| <b>Main Achievements:</b>   | <p>Outcome 1: Diagnostic of vulnerability, risk maps, water balance.</p> <p>Outcome 2: Training programme for local government officials as regards water and basic sanitation.</p> <p>Outcome 3: Strengthening AMSAT, representative organization in the basin.</p>  |   |        |             |           |             |          |          |              |          |          |              |            |          |              |          |          |
| Other important achievements  |   |   |        |             |           |             |          |          |              |          |          |              |            |          |              |          |          |
| <p>Does the JP incorporate gender considerations in the <b>activities/outputs/outcomes</b>? (example)<br/>No</p> <p>Does the JP include gender specific <b>indicators</b> in the monitoring plan?(example)<br/>No</p> <p>Does the JP mention gender relationships as part of the <b>challenges</b> analyzed? (specify)<br/>No</p> |   |   |        |             |           |             |          |          |              |          |          |              |            |          |              |          |          |
|   |   |   |        |             |           |             |          |          |              |          |          |              |            |          |              |          |          |