

<b>Total Budget:</b>	<b>USD 4,000,000</b>		
<b>Budget by Agency:</b>	UNESCO: 197,031 WFP: 208,971 UNIDO: 199,020 UNEP: 1,556,804	UNDP: 1,563,526 FAO: 115,432 IOM: 159,216	
<b>Participating Gov. Entities:</b>	Ministry of the Environment (Office of Water and Forests).		
<b>Start Date:</b>	22 April 2009	<b>Approval Date:</b>	5 February 2008
<b>Est. End Date:</b>	22 April 2012	<b>Signature Date:</b>	29 August 2008
<b>Disbursements:</b>	First Disbursement:	22 April 2009	USD 3,111,940
	Second Disbursement:	26 May 2010	USD 1,501,825
	Third Disbursement:		
<b>In Brief:</b>	<p>The primary objective of this project is to reverse the decline in forest ecosystems and to encourage the sustainable use of forest ecosystem services in Senegal. This approach will be complemented by national and local research on population mobility and ecosystem and climate changes in Senegal. The project will achieve the above mentioned objective in two steps. The first step includes improving the information on the total economic values of critical forest ecosystem services and understanding of the trade-offs among the various ecosystem services across different stakeholders. The second step entails using the information gathered in Step One to guide the present revision of the tax system in Senegal, with a special emphasis on developing eco-taxes and rebates to provide the financial incentives to adopt sustainable use of forest ecosystem services and prevent urban and rural migration.</p>		

**Outcomes:**

- Development of a national information system on the economic value of forest ecosystem services and a better understanding of the political, economic and social strategies required for their management
- Integration of the real economic value of the services of the forestry ecosystems in the fiscal decentralization policy;
- Improved ownership and participation of local communities, non governmental actors and private sector in the revision of the forestry fiscal regime.



<b>Regions of Intervention:</b>	Nationwide and Thies, Diourbel, Louga, St Louis Regions	
<b>MDGs</b>	MDG1 T1.A ; MDG7 T7.A	
<b>Beneficiaries</b>	<b>Direct</b>	<b>Indirect</b>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>No. Institutions</b></li> <li>• <b>No. Women</b></li> <li>• <b>No. Men</b></li> <li>• <b>No. ethnic groups</b></li> </ul>	192 1,4331 7,155 0	192 1,4331 7,155 0																								
Project coordinator: Sidiki Diombana Diop RCO Focal Point: Ousseynou a Wade, Sara Cortes Web page:																										
<b>Status</b>	The programme is on track with minor delays in some of the planned activities. There is substantial progress at the outcome level in terms of development of capacities of state agents and local communities, establishing the baseline and the elaboration of a methodology for valuation of forest ecosystems.																									
<b>Estimated financial execution status as of the June 30, 2010 biannual report:</b>	<table border="0"> <tr> <td> <b>Disbursed Budget:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 40.59 % over the Total approved budget</li> <li>• 51.21 % over transferred budget</li> </ul> </td> <td> <b>Committed Budget:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 43.76 % over the Total approved budget</li> <li>• 55.22 % over transferred budget</li> </ul> </td> </tr> </table>		<b>Disbursed Budget:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 40.59 % over the Total approved budget</li> <li>• 51.21 % over transferred budget</li> </ul>	<b>Committed Budget:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 43.76 % over the Total approved budget</li> <li>• 55.22 % over transferred budget</li> </ul>																						
<b>Disbursed Budget:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 40.59 % over the Total approved budget</li> <li>• 51.21 % over transferred budget</li> </ul>	<b>Committed Budget:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 43.76 % over the Total approved budget</li> <li>• 55.22 % over transferred budget</li> </ul>																									
<b>Delivery rate by Result Graphic:</b>																										
<b>Delivery rate by Agency Graphic:</b>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Agency</th> <th>Transferred</th> <th>Disbursed</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>FAO</td> <td>59.18%</td> <td>~100,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>IOM</td> <td>63.07%</td> <td>~100,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>UNIDO</td> <td>34.2%</td> <td>~50,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>WFP</td> <td>100%</td> <td>~200,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>UNDP</td> <td>67.46%</td> <td>~700,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>UNEP</td> <td>30.86%</td> <td>~400,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>UNESCO</td> <td>35.27%</td> <td>~100,000</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Agency	Transferred	Disbursed	FAO	59.18%	~100,000	IOM	63.07%	~100,000	UNIDO	34.2%	~50,000	WFP	100%	~200,000	UNDP	67.46%	~700,000	UNEP	30.86%	~400,000	UNESCO	35.27%	~100,000
Agency	Transferred	Disbursed																								
FAO	59.18%	~100,000																								
IOM	63.07%	~100,000																								
UNIDO	34.2%	~50,000																								
WFP	100%	~200,000																								
UNDP	67.46%	~700,000																								
UNEP	30.86%	~400,000																								
UNESCO	35.27%	~100,000																								
<b>Main Achievements :</b>	<p>Outcome 1: Significant progress has been made in developing the methodology for SGA implementation, in establishing the reference situation in the SGA context, in the capacity building of government agents and local communities, and in the raising of public awareness/information for populations and local elected officials about forest taxation and forest ecosystems services.</p> <p>A qualitative database of the relationships between migration flows and the environment in the Saint-Louis region, the RAO arrondissement, the rural communities of Gandon and Ndiébène Gandiol, where Gandon's Community Natural Reserve (RNC) is located.</p> <p>Outcome 2: The inputs allowing for the achievement of this effect are currently being established, specifically through the protocols signed between UNEP and the national entities (CSE and ANSD), but also with the significant progress made by UNESCO.</p> <p>Outcome 3: Significant progress has been made in terms of the population's perception of forest ecosystems services and their patterns.</p>																									
Other important achievements																										
<p>Does the JP incorporate gender considerations in the <b>activities/outputs/outcomes</b>? (example)</p> <p>Does the JP include gender specific <b>indicators</b> in the monitoring plan?(example)</p> <p>Does the JP mention gender relationships as part of the <b>challenges</b> analyzed? (specify)</p>																										