

<b>Total Budget:</b>	<b>USD 6,143,000</b>		
<b>Budget by Agency:</b>	IOM: 984,901 UNDP: 3,052,701	ILO: 1,344,370 UNICEF: 761,028	
<b>Participating Gov. Entities:</b>	Ministry of Economy and Regional Development, Ministry of Youth and Sports, Ministry of Labour and Social Policy, Ministry of Human and Minority Rights, National Employment Service, Centre for Social Work, Republic Statistical Office		
<b>Start Date:</b>	29 October 2009	<b>Approval Date:</b>	29 January 2009
<b>Est. End Date:</b>	29 October 2012	<b>Signature Date:</b>	1 September 2009
<b>Disbursements:</b>	First Disbursement: Second Disbursement: Third Disbursement:	29 October 2009	USD 2,372,730
<b>In Brief:</b>	The JP implemented by IOM, ILO, UNDP and UNICEF will support national and local institutions to implement policy and operational measures that will increase youth employment in Serbia while concurrently reducing the negative impact of return and irregular migration. Interventions will be implemented in South Backa, Belgrade and Pcinjski Districts and will focus on i) developing evidence-based policies on youth employment and migration; ii) strengthening the capacity of national institutions to design integrated labour market and social services that are aligned with policy objectives; and iii) supporting local institutions to pilot innovative employment programmes and social services. The JP will target disadvantaged young women and men, especially young Roma returning to Serbia under readmission agreements, as well as those at risk of social exclusion who are prime candidates for emigration. It will contribute to the achievement of MDG1 (indic.2) and MDG8 (indic.45).		

**Outcomes:**

- Sustainable development plans that effectively respond to the need of people, communities and promote rural development.
- Improved network of employment services and strengthened employment promotion policies.



<b>Regions of Intervention:</b>	• Districts of South Backa, Belgrade and Pcinjski	
<b>MDGs</b>	MDG1 T1.B	
<b>Beneficiaries</b>	<b>Direct</b>	<b>Indirect</b>
• No. Institutions	51	36
• No. Women	1,664	950
• No. Men	1,617	965
• No. ethnic groups	0	0

Project coordinator: Gerold Schwarz  
RCO Focal Point: Borka Jeremic

<b>Status</b>	On track and advancing towards expected outcomes and outputs																
<b>Estimated financial execution status as of the June30, 2010 biannual report:</b>	<b>Disbursed Budget:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• % over the Total approved budget: 21%</li> <li>• % over transferred budget: 55%</li> </ul>	<b>Committed Budget:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• % over the Total approved budget: 31%</li> <li>• % over transferred budget: 80%</li> </ul>															
<b>Delivery rate by Agency Graphic:</b>	<table border="1"> <caption>Delivery rate by Agency Data</caption> <thead> <tr> <th>Agency</th> <th>Transferred</th> <th>Disbursed</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>ILO, 42,25%</td> <td>~850,000</td> <td>~350,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>OIM, 86,23%</td> <td>~400,000</td> <td>~300,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>UNDP, 44,87%</td> <td>~900,000</td> <td>~400,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>UNICEF, 92,9%</td> <td>~250,000</td> <td>~200,000</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Agency	Transferred	Disbursed	ILO, 42,25%	~850,000	~350,000	OIM, 86,23%	~400,000	~300,000	UNDP, 44,87%	~900,000	~400,000	UNICEF, 92,9%	~250,000	~200,000
Agency	Transferred	Disbursed															
ILO, 42,25%	~850,000	~350,000															
OIM, 86,23%	~400,000	~300,000															
UNDP, 44,87%	~900,000	~400,000															
UNICEF, 92,9%	~250,000	~200,000															
<b>Main Achievements :</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The work under outcome 1 has focused mainly on improving data collection and monitoring of youth employment and internal movement, and to establish coordination mechanisms in order to define indicators on labour migration and social protection. In addition to this, YEM has contracted a consortium of youth NGOs to identify from a Civil Society perspective the key issues in youth employment and migration that Government needs to address, and promote their inclusion into national policies and action plans. As a result of YEM year 1, the Serbian Government can now define new employment strategies and policies, based on better and more detailed data and indicators on youth employment. As a next step, YEM supports Government with drafting of the new national employment strategy in the areas of youth employment and labour migration.</li> <li>• YEM has finalized the first phase of capacity building for the centers for social work, the youth offices, and the local councils for employment. The focus of phase one was on introducing the case management approach in the CSWs, enabling youth offices to better understand and reach out to disadvantaged youth, and to enable employment councils to include youth employment targets into youth employment interventions</li> <li>• The Youth Employment Fund (Outcome 3) has fully started in the beginning of this year. As of to date, the fund has 92 beneficiaries in contract and further 50-60 in process. Out of the 92, 72 are in the district of Pcinski, 16 in Belgrade, and 4 in Novi Sad. 65 of the 92 are women, 26 are Roma, and 4 are youth with disabilities.</li> </ul>																
Other important achievements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The regular labour force survey implemented by the Republican Statistical Office on behalf of the Ministry of Economy and Regional Development now includes a new questionnaire module on youth employment and movement and delivers now more specific information on these areas.</li> <li>• 15 new youth labour market indicators are developed and now regularly used.</li> <li>• The framework for indicators on Social Protection and Labour Migration has been agreed with the Government partners.</li> <li>• YEM is now in the process of supporting the Government with the drafting of the new National Employment Strategy, providing technical support in the areas of youth employment and labour migration.</li> <li>• All centers for social work in the YEM target districts were trained to introduce the case management approach and 6 CSWs trained and selected to produce AOP</li> <li>• Youth offices completed training to better understand - and work with the most vulnerable youth, and a new model to provide up-to-date information through InfoPoints was developed and will be implemented during the summer.</li> <li>• The local employment councils were support to set priorities and targets for inclusion of disadvantaged youth in their municipalities.</li> <li>• To inform the public about the youth employment fund, YEM has closely liaised with the media and as a result the fund was featured 8 times this year on TV, Radio, and newspaper. Presentations of the fund to employers associations, at round tables with employers and employment fairs, at 4 events to Roma associations, and to associations of people with disabilities.</li> </ul>																

Does the JP incorporate gender considerations in the **activities/outputs/outcomes**? (example)

Does the JP include gender specific **indicators** in the monitoring plan?(example)

Does the JP mention gender relationships as part of the **challenges** analyzed? (specify)